

**書面申述文本**  
**Copies of Written Representations**

<b>序號</b> <b><u>Serial No.</u></b>	<b>個別人士/機構名稱</b> <b><u>Name of Individual/Organisation</u></b>
A1	龍緯汶文化藝術國際交流協會主席 龍緯汶
A2	來信者要求將姓名保密 Anonymity is requested
A3	來信者要求將姓名保密 Anonymity is requested
A4	網絡紅人工作者協會創會主席 音樂堂慈善基金會創會主席 高松傑 Jacky Ko
A5	沒有署名 Name not provided
A6	沒有署名 Name not provided
A7	沒有署名 Name not provided
A8	沒有署名 Name not provided
A9	美孚居民何先生
A10	沒有署名 Name not provided
A11	沒有署名 Name not provided
A12	Lok Chen
A13	Helios Cheng
A14	沒有署名 Name not provided

A15	沒有署名 Name not provided
A16	沒有署名 Name not provided
A17	沒有署名 Name not provided
A18	沒有署名 Name not provided
A19	Brian lam
A20	沒有署名 Name not provided
A21	沒有署名 Name not provided
A22	沒有署名 Name not provided
A23	沒有署名 Name not provided
A24	張玉珍
A25	張欣
A26	姚子聰
A27	閔國瑜
A28	施翠顏
A29	葉潔群
A30	IVAN LO
A31	盧俊希
A32	盧志成
A33	陳麗珠
A34	劉寧

A35	林玉英
A36	陳礎冰
A37	謝惠賢
A38	邵樑昭
A39	郭三妹
A40	黃玉貞
A41	朱瑤希
A42	張麗貞
A43	黃溢璋
A44	沒有署名 Name not provided
A45	沒有署名 Name not provided
A46	沒有署名 Name not provided
A47	沒有署名 Name not provided
A48	沒有署名 Name not provided
A49	李遠根
A50	甯木好
A51	江桂英
A52	李麗
A53	陳美君
A54	許先生
A55	Irene LW Lam

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



回應「2025年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議」

to: eacenq@eac.hk

04/05/2025 19:36

致：選舉管理處秘書處

長沙灣東京街西3號庫務大樓8樓

電郵：eacenq@eac.hk

關於：回應「2025年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議」

由：龍緯汶文化藝術國際交流協會

日期：2025年5月3日

敬啟者：

龍緯汶文化藝術國際交流協會於2007年成立，關注本港事務，服務本港居民。

我們贊成把深圳河區域中屬於香港特別行政區的河套地區撥入「新界北選區」，其他選區劃界不變。

肅函奉達，佇候示覆。如需進一步資料，請聯絡本會：[REDACTED]。

龍緯汶 主席 謹上

龍緯汶文化藝術國際交流協會

[REDACTED]





請貴秘書處將本人的姓名及地址保密

九龍深水埗  
東京街西 3 號  
庫務大樓 8 樓  
選舉管理委員會秘書處

執事先生/女士：

**二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢**

茲建議將天水圍區及天水圍區以西的地域納入「新界西北 LC8」內；及將元朗區東南部納入「新界北 LC7」內。

2. 理由：將距離屯門區更遠的元朗區東南部納入「新界西北 LC8」，而不將距離屯門區更近的天水圍納入「新界西北 LC8」的做法，是不合理的。
3. 我認為貴會並沒有按《選舉管理委員會條例》（第 541 章）第 20(3) 款作出有關建議：
  - (3) 選管會在作出有關建議時，須顧及 ——
    - (a) 社區獨特性及地方聯繫的維持；及
    - (b) 有關區域或其部分的自然特徵，例如大小、形狀，以及交通方便程度及發展。
4. 元朗區東南部多為圍村，其居民不常到屯門活動、沒有便捷交通工具連接該兩地域、與屯門區的聯繫較小。相反，元朗區東南部有直接巴士線來往上水（北區）、居民與北區的聯繫比與屯門區的聯繫多。
5. 天水圍區以西地域來往屯門區交通比來往北區交通方便、天水圍區與屯門區的聯繫亦較與北區的聯繫多（天水圍區前往屯門區的公共交通工具車費比前往北區的平一半）、在水天圍醫院在 2018 年落成啟用前，天水圍區的居民會被消防處救護車送往屯門醫院而非博愛醫院或北區醫院。

6. 至於《選舉管理委員會條例》第 20(4) 款的條文，我認為是不影響貴會履行上述建議。該款條文只是指出貴會在作出新建議前，以現有的地方選區分界作為藍本，以非指明須強制跟從現有的地方選區分界。不然，貴會在作出新建議時便有機會未能顧及條例第 20(3) 款的事宜。法例詮釋是不應該導致荒謬（absurd）的效果，即引致未能顧及條例其他條文的效果。

7. 請貴秘書處將本人的姓名及地址保密，及回覆本人是否收到本人的意見。



2025 年 5 月 3 日

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢

to: eacenq@eac.hk

14/05/2025 12:30

選舉管理委員會主席  
陸啟康法官  
陸主席鈞鑒：


二零二五年立法會換屆選舉  
地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議  
公眾諮詢

本人特此來函，就題述公眾諮詢發表意見。

2. 本人支持選舉管理委員會在是次臨時建議中，將河套區列入毗鄰新界北地方選區中，令相關選區劃界更符合香港特別行政區（特區）的行政區域界綫。
3. 然而，參考貴會就新界北地方選區所建議的選區分界圖，多段深圳河（例如馬草壟至文錦渡及簡頭圍至香園圍）的選區界綫仍然與《中華人民共和國國務院令第221號》所附《中華人民共和國香港特別行政區行政區域界綫文字表述》的規定有所出入，尤其是不符合第一（三）點所述的「深圳河治理後，以新河中心綫作為區域界綫」。
4. 雖然本人相信現時並沒有人有在有關地點居住，但本人希望建議貴會藉納入河套區至新界北地方選區的契機，一併處理選區界綫與香港特區的行政區域界綫不一致的問題，徹底解決這個歷史遺留下來的問題。否則一旦有合資格選民遷往有關地方的香港範圍內通常居住時，雖然他們仍然居於香港特區的範圍內，受香港的司法管轄，卻因住址並未納入任何一個地方選區，而被剝奪《基本法》賦予他們的選舉權和被選舉權，後果深遠且嚴重。另一方面，若有合資格選民登記在有關地方的深圳範圍內通常居住時，則可能會導致實際上居於內地，受內地的司法管轄，但相關地址卻被列入新界北地方選區。這個是非常奇怪的現象，相信也不符合《基本法》的原則。
5. 另外，本人亦建議貴會在是次立法會換屆選舉及未來區議會一般選舉的地方選區分界建議中，考慮處理因赤鱗角填海後而分屬新界西北地方選區（屯門區）及香港島西地方選區（離島區）的問題，而達致符合《選舉管理委員會條例》第20(3)(b)條有關自然特徵的規定。
6. 期盼貴會考慮本人的意見。本人要求將本人的姓名保密，但意見可以公開。
7. 有勞之處，不勝感激。

順頌  
祺安

2025年5月14日



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



二二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議公眾諮詢

to: eaceng@eac.hk

16/05/2025 12:07

選管會秘書處：

支持將河套區劃入新界北選區，為香港發展注入新動力。

立法會換屆選舉即將在今年12月7日舉行，選舉管理委員會宣布將就地方選區分界及名稱的臨時建議舉行公眾諮詢大會，這一舉措意義重大，是香港民主進程中的重要節點，關係到香港未來的治理和發展。其中，將河套區劃入新界北地方選區的建議，我表示支持。

河套區的發展潛力巨大，不容忽視。從歷史沿革來看，河套區自誕生之初便承載著深港合作的使命。1997年深圳河治理工程完成後，河套地區正式形成，為日後深港的深度合作埋下了種子。2017年深港兩地簽署《關於港深推進落馬洲河套地區共同發展的合作備忘錄》，解決了土地使用問題，明確在河套共同發展「港深創新及科技園」，從此河套區的發展步入快車道，成為深港科技創新合作的前沿陣地。

如今，河套區正在進行大規模的開發建設，各項基礎設施不斷完善，科研機構和企業陸續進駐。在這一背景下，將河套區劃入新界北地方選區是極為明智的決策。新界北地區本身就具有得天獨厚的地理優勢，位處香港與深圳的接壤地帶，是香港融入國家發展大局的重要門戶。而河套區的加入，將進一步激活新界北的發展活力，促進區域間的資源共享和優勢互補。

在經濟發展方面，新界北可以借助河套區的科技創新產業，打造完整的科技產業鏈。河套區的科研成果可以在新界北進行產業化轉化，新界北也能為河套區的企業提供更廣闊的發展空間和配套服務，形成強大的產業集聚效應，吸引更多的人才和投資，推動香港整體經濟的高質量發展。

從民生角度而言，新界北的居民將從河套區的發展中受益匪淺。更多的就業機會將被創造出來，居民可以在家門口找到合適的工作，提高生活質量。同時，河套區的發展也會帶動新界北的交通、教育、醫療等公共服務設施的升級和完善，提升居民的生活便利性和幸福感。

對於選區名稱，如果能突出河套元素，如命名為「河套新界北選區」，將更具標誌性和代表性。這樣的名稱不僅能清晰地表明選區的獨特地理位置和重要發展區域，還能增強選區內居民的歸屬感和認同感，有利於凝聚人心，共同為選區的發展貢獻力量。

此次立法會選舉地方選區分界的調整，尤其是將河套區劃入新界北的建議，是順應時代發展潮流、符合香港整體利益的明智之舉。希望社會各界能夠積極支持這一建議，共同推動香港在新的選區劃分格局下，實現更加繁榮穩定的發展，在融入國家發展大局的道路上邁出更加堅實的步伐。

敬祝工作愉快！

Jacky Ko 高松傑  
網絡紅人工作者協會創會主席  
音樂堂慈善基金會創會主席

Mobile: + [REDACTED] / [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]  
Youtube: [REDACTED]

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



建議大幅度修改立法會地方選區界線

to: eaceng@eac.hk

20/05/2025 00:09

現時，立法會地方選區一共有8個選區，然而大部分都不符合地理社區等等劃界，以包括東涌新市鎮的香港島西選區最嚴重。本人認為劃界始終應該基於合理的傳統界線。希望選管會考慮將界線重新修訂，改為4個選區，分為香港島、九龍、新界南及新界北，與警察消防的總區看齊。

另外，建議選管會考慮引入排序複選制取代簡單直接多數制決定勝選者，以促進社會和諧，減少社會撕裂。

To the EAC:

Regarding the consultation on electoral boundaries ending 31st May 2025, please consider renaming the Hong Kong West geographical constituency to Hong Kong West and Islands (香港西與離島 or 香港島西與離島) to better reflect its geographic scope and raise the awareness and sense of belonging among residents in the Islands District.

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



選區分界之建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

21/05/2025 22:39

建議應把「清麗苑」由現時所屬的「九龍西選區」(LC4)改為屬於「新界西南選區」(LC9)。



Re: Public consultation on electoral boundaries

Hello EAC (the Electoral Affairs Commission) and REO (Registration and Electoral Office). The Hong Kong Island West geographical constituency should better be renamed Hong Kong Island West and New Territories South (香港島西與新界南) to denote the geographic scope of the constituency.

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



選區分界之建議

22/05/2025 10:53 PM

From:

[REDACTED]

To:

eaceng@eac.hk

為了使選區分界更為完整，建議應把位於街道門牌「荔景山路264號」的「清麗苑」由現時所屬的「九龍西選區」（LC4）改為屬於「新界西南選區」（LC9）。

美孚居民何先生 謹啟

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Public consultation on electoral boundaries for the 2025 LCE**

25/05/2025 08:17 PM

From:

[REDACTED]

To:

eaceng@eac.hk

History:

This message has been forwarded.

**Powered by Anonymousemail**

Please rationalise the boundary between New Territories North East and New Territories South East in eastern Sha Tin, so that communities connected by major road and rail arteries would be in the same constituency. Precisely please consider shifting the boundary so that the areas around Shek Mun station, including Wong Nai Tau, will be in the NTSE constituency, whereas those near City One station and Sha Tin Wai station, including To Shek, Chap Wai Kon and Ngau Pei Sha will be in the NTNE constituency.

To EAC,

Re: Public consultation on naming and demarcation of geographical constituencies

1. I am writing to suggest the renaming of "Hong Kong Island West" (LC2) to "Hong Kong Island South West and New Territories South", and "Hong Kong Island East" (LC1) to "Hong Kong Island North East".
2. For Kowloon (south of Boundary Street) and New Kowloon, please consider redrawing the three suggested constituencies (LC3 to LC5) into "Kowloon", "New Kowloon Central and West" and "New Kowloon East and South East".
3. Please also consider assigning the Sham Chun Bay Control Point to the New Territories North West (LC8) or the New Territories North (LC7) constituency, and the entire Chek Lap Kok island and the 07L/25R runway to LC2.
4. The north-western part of Yuen Long District should be assigned to LC8, and the south-eastern part of that district should be assigned to LC7, so as to preserve connectivity along major roads, motorways and railway lines.

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups




Comment on Boundaries and Names Geo Con 2025 Leg Election

to: eacenq@eac.hk

28/05/2025 11:31

2 個附件檔



img20250528\_10371089.jpg foshan.png

選舉管理委員會：

您們好！

1. 請考慮在基本層次，English(英文) 與 中文(Chinese)並存，省卻看兩幅一樣的圖；亦便利學習另一語文。
2. 大嶼山發展了，面積與香港島相約，用'大嶼選區' 和 '港島選區'較清晰。
3. 以'北都選區' 取代 新界北；分界配合 北部都會區。
4. 為配合 粵港澳大灣區(Greater Bay Area)發展，請檢視大灣區內，確保各區名稱易於分辨。例：佛山有蕉基，香港北區有蕉徑。

5. 附圖兩幅：

img20250528\_10371089.jpg  
foshan.png

謝謝您們跟進。

祝 身體健康！

Lok Chen






粵港澳大灣區  
Greater Bay Area

圖 文 音 像

- Introduction
  - Development Timeline
  - City Descriptions
  - Development Directions
  - Practical Information
  - Photo Gallery
  - Video Gallery
  - Related Links
  - Contact Us
- ☐ Core Cities ☒ Key Node Cities





接待遊客：5 585.42萬人次  
佛山沙堤機場旅客吞吐量：

註：\*以上為2023年市面經銷和麵粉總產量佔其數的百分比

- 佛山位於廣東中南部，地處珠江三角洲腹地，東倚廣州，毗鄰港澳，與香港、澳門相距車程均在兩小時左右。
- 佛山交通便利，航空、軌道、公路、河運、公共交通銜接配套的現代化立體交通體系基本齊備。佛山機場開通北京、上海、石家莊等城市航線，全市共有四個異地候機室與廣州白雲機場無縫對接。珠三角新幹線機場規劃選址為佛山市高明區，該機場是廣東省重點打造的骨幹機場之一，設計年客流量為5 000萬人次。新機場將於2019年全面動工。廣（州）佛（山）線首通段是全國第一條跨市城際軌道；廣（州）珠（海）城際軌道緊密連接佛山與廣州、中山、珠海、港澳等地區。
- 佛山以製造業為主，主要包括機械裝備、家用電器、陶瓷建材、金屬材料加工及製品、紡織服裝、電子信息、食品飲料、精細化工及醫藥、家居用品製造等優勢行業，以及光電、環保、新材料、新醫藥、新能源汽車等新興產業。
- 佛山素有「魚米之鄉」美譽，歷史形成的蠶基、蕉基、蔗基魚塘聞名於世。目前，佛山以花卉、水產、畜牧為主的現代農業加速發展，擁有全國最大的蝴蝶蘭生產基地、全省最大的優質百合花生產基地，是「中國鰻魚之鄉」、「中國淡水魚苗之鄉」和「中國花木之鄉」，被評定為首批國家農業產業化示範基地市。

固態鋁

- 國家級：[佛山高新技術產業開發區](#)
- 省級：[佛山南海經濟開發區](#)、[佛山禪城經濟開發區](#)、[佛山南海工業園](#)、[佛山高明江工農業園區](#)、[佛山三水工業園區](#)、[佛山順德工業園區](#)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



**Re: Written representation regarding Boundaries and Names of Geographical Constituencies for 2025 LCGE**

31/05/2025 21:21

From:

To: eacenq@eac.hk

1 個附件檔



Written Representation Regarding Boundaries and Names of Geographical Constituencies for the 2025 Legis

Dear Sir/Madam,

Attached is my updated written representation for the titled consultation. Please disregard the previous version sent on 30/5. Apologies for the inconvenience caused. Thank you.

Best Regards,  
Helios Cheng

<[eacenq@eac.hk](mailto:eacenq@eac.hk)> 於 2025年5月30日週五 上午12:56寫道：

Thank you for your e-mail.

This message is an acknowledgement of receipt of your e-mail. Your e-mail will be forwarded to the responsible officer for processing/reply as appropriate.

Please find a personal information collection statement at <http://www.eac.hk/pdf/about/PICS.pdf> for reference.

(This message is generated by Auto Reply System)  
Electoral Affairs Commission  
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

本會現確認收到你的電郵。你的電郵會視乎情況轉至有關負責人處理/回覆。  
個人資料收集聲明已上載至 <http://www.eac.hk/pdf/about/PICS.pdf> 以供參閱。

(以上訊息由自動回覆系統發出)  
香港特別行政區 選舉管理委員會謹覆



## **Written Representation Regarding Boundaries and Names of Geographical Constituencies for the 2025 Legislative Council General Election**

To: Electoral Affairs Commission

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to your consultation document dated 2 May 2025 regarding the delineation and naming of Geographical Constituencies (GCs) for the upcoming Legislative Council General Election. Please find below my recommendations, which are proposed in accordance with statutory requirements and your established working principles.

### **Introduction**

I acknowledge the EAC's intention to maintain the current GC boundaries. Nonetheless, I wish to express that the existing delineation is suboptimal, particularly as it combines districts with little geographical or community relevance. The current naming conventions also do not adequately reflect geographical identities.

While I understand there is no legal obligation to revise the boundaries, I strongly urge the EAC to consider amending them to better preserve local ties and reflect community identities. This is in line with good electoral practice and would also prepare the electoral framework for future population shifts.

Although a deviation of  $\pm 15\%$  from the resulting number is permissible, such wide discrepancies should be minimized. Notably, there is currently a population difference of 188,400 between LC1 and LC8. Such disparity undermines electoral fairness.

Also, the example of Singapore, which updates its boundaries and names before every election to reflect population changes and community identities, may serve as a valuable reference. With sufficient advance notice, any revised boundaries would not disrupt electoral preparedness.

## Recommendations and Reasons

Below are my recommendations on the boundaries and names of the GCs, divided by subsections according to Hong Kong Island, Kowloon, and New Territories. Reasons are also provided. For all references to District Council Constituencies (DCCs), I refer to the boundaries in the 2019 District Council Ordinary Election.

I have used the best available data from EAC documents, the Planning Department, and the Census and Statistics Department to estimate voter populations. However, I respectfully request the EAC to verify these figures.

### Hong Kong Island GCs – LC1 and LC2

#### 1. LC1 – Hong Kong Island East (香港島東)

- Current Population and Deviation: 666 700; -11.81%
- Proposed Adjustment:
  - Absorb the following DCCs of Southern District from LC2:
    - Wong Chuk Hang (D15)
    - Bays Area (D16)
    - Stanley and Shek O (D17)
  - Release the following DCCs of Wan Chai District to LC2:
    - Southorn (B12)
    - Tai Fat Hau (B13)
- Estimated Population: 697 161
- New Deviation: -7.78%
- Name Change Recommendation: Island East (港島東)

#### 2. LC2 – Hong Kong Island West (香港島西)

- Current Population and Deviation: 712 700; -5.73%
- Proposed Adjustment:
  - Absorb the following DCCs of Wan Chai District from LC1:
    - Southorn (B12)
    - Tai Fat Hau (B13)
  - Release the following DCCs of Southern District to LC1:
    - Wong Chuk Hang (D15)
    - Bays Area (D16)

- Stanley and Shek O (D17)
  - Estimated Population: 681 539
  - Deviation: -9.85%
  - Name Change Recommendation: Island West & Islands (港島西及離島)

### **3. Reasons for Boundary Adjustment:**

The % deviation from the “resulting number” for LC1 is high, making it unfair for other GCs. While the population of Hong Kong Island and Islands District combined would still not be sufficient to get past the resulting number that returns 2 GCs, it is possible to redraw the boundaries and make the deviation more even among them.

Also, some parts that belong to LC2 do not match with the name of the GC. Areas such as Stanley and Shek O fall under Hong Kong Island West Constituency, yet they are geographically distant from what the name of the GC implies. It would make more sense to move these areas to LC1.

Moreover, areas such as Stanley, Shek O, etc., are more closely connected to Island East via transport and geography. The EAC must take community ties into consideration.

Taking the above into consideration, it is recommended that the eastern parts of Southern District be moved to LC1, as mentioned above in detail. To compensate for the population loss, the DCCs B12 and B13 of Wan Chai District – which have strong ties with Admiralty (part of LC2) – shall be moved to LC2. Although LC2 will now have a stronger % deviation from the resulting number than LC1, it is worth noting that the population of Islands District will increase in the near future, including developments in Tung Chung East, which will compensate for the loss now.

### **4. Reasons for Name Amendment:**

The current name for LC2 is Hong Kong Island West. As the name may imply, it shall cover the western part of Hong Kong Island (HK Island).

However, the GC also covers the eastern part of HK Island, e.g. Shek O, and also Islands District, which apparently is not part of HK Island. It may cause confusion to voters or even cause discontent from voters of Islands District. To be candid, when I

consulted a first-time-voter-to-be from Islands District, he felt surprised that he is voting in Hong Kong Island West, and questioned why he is voting in an irrelevant GC. When exchanging ideas with other voters that do not reside in Islands District, some were not aware that LC2 would include the Islands District, as the name suggests nothing about that. Some also expressed concern that areas such as Stanley, which is located at the eastern part of HK Island, would fall under “Hong Kong Island West” Constituency, which fails to comply with logic.

To make the name more inclusive and more able to represent the GC, the name for LC2 is suggested to be amended to “Island West & Islands” (港島西及離島). Alongside with the suggested boundary changes, the name will match with the geographical areas of the GC, and make residents of Islands District feel included.

One thing to note why the words “Hong Kong” or “香” was removed is to make the name of the GC less wordy. Most Hong Kong residents will understand that Island or 港島 refers to HK Island, and not any other island in Hong Kong. In fact, it is commonly found in different aspects in both official languages, including MTR’s Island Line, Island West Transfer Station of the Environmental Protection Department; 港島規劃區 of the Planning Department, and 港島西聯網 of the Hospital Authority.

To align with the suggested name change for LC2, the name of LC1 is also suggested to be amended to “Island East” (港島東).

## **Kowloon GCs – LC3 to LC5**

**LC3–LC5 – Kowloon East, Kowloon West, and Kowloon Central (九龍東, 九龍西, 九龍中)**

No changes proposed. I have no objection on the current delineation exercise and naming of the 3 GCs in Kowloon.

## **New Territories GCs – LC6 to LC10**

### **1. LC6 – New Territories South East (新界東南)**

- Current Population and Deviation: 756 100; 0.02%
- Proposed Adjustment:

- Absorb the following DCC of Tai Po District from LC10:
  - Sai Kung North (P19)
- Release the following DCCs of Sha Tin District to LC10:
  - Yu Yan (R37)
  - Kwong Hong (R40)
  - Kwong Yuen (R41)
- Estimated Population: 743 429 (excluding new population of over 15000 in Shap Sze Heung)
- Deviation: -3.66%
- Name Change Recommendation: Ma On Shan & Sai Kung (馬鞍山及西貢)

## **2. LC7 – New Territories North (新界北)**

- Current Population and Deviation: 728 300; -3.66%
- Proposed Adjustment:
  - Absorb the following DCCs of Yuen Long District's from LC8:
    - Kam Tin (M37)
    - Pat Heung North (M38)
    - Pat Heung South (M39)
  - Estimated Population: 790 533
  - Deviation: 4.57%
  - Name Change Recommendation: Northern Metropolis (北部都會區)

## **3. LC8 – New Territories North West (新界西北)**

- Current Population and Deviation: 855 100; 13.11%
- Proposed Adjustment:
  - Release the following DCCs of Yuen Long District to LC7
    - Kam Tin (M37)
    - Pat Heung North (M38)
    - Pat Heung South (M39)
  - Estimated Population: 792 867
  - Deviation: 4.88%
  - Name Change Recommendation: Tuen Mun & Yuen Long Town (屯門及元朗市)

#### **4. LC9 – New Territories South West**

No changes proposed for boundaries.

Name Change Recommendation: Tsuen Kwai Tsing (荃葵青)

#### **5. LC10 – New Territories North East**

- Current Population and Deviation: 784 900; 3.83%
- Proposed Adjustment:
  - Absorb the following DCCs of Sha Tin District from LC10:
    - Yu Yan (R37)
    - Kwong Hong (R40)
    - Kwong Yuen (R41)
  - Release the following DCC of Tai Po District to LC10:
    - Sai Kung North (P19)
- Estimated Population: 812 679
- Deviation: 7.50%
- Name Change Recommendation: Tai Po & Sha Tin Town (大埔及沙田市)

#### **6. Reasons for Boundary Adjustment:**

LC6 & LC10:

Following the ongoing developments in Shap Sze Heung and surrounding areas, it is expected that the area will have stronger ties to Ma On Shan and Sai Kung District due to their proximity. The areas are connected by Sai Sha Road and Hiram's Highway. Geographically speaking, Former DCC P19 (Sai Kung North), is distant from the rest of Tai Po District, and to a further extent LC10. The current delineation causes a situation where residents travelling on Sai Sha Road will start at LC6, then enter LC10, and at last entering LC6 again. To solve such suboptimal delineation, and to connect areas with land proximity, it is suggested that P19 be moved to LC6, so that the working principles of accessibility and development as stated by EAC be fulfilled.

On the other hand, Siu Lek Yuen has a stronger community tie to Sha Tin, yet the current delineation has grouped the area with Ma On Shan and Sai Kung District. This would cause inconvenience to the voters. To align with the practice to cater for community ties, it is suggested that R37, R40, and R41 be moved to LC10. The land swap between the two GCs will be beneficial to community ties and lawmakers to

cater for their constituency. Although this will cause a greater difference in their population, it is worth noting that the population of LC6 will continue to increase due to future developments in Cheung Muk Tau, which will narrow the population difference and % deviation.

#### LC7 & LC8:

It is obvious that LC8 has the highest population among all GCs, resulting in a % deviation of 13.11%. Not only does this undermine electoral fairness, but it also makes the GC vulnerable to reaching the statutory limit, which might happen in a few years. Although not required by law to take any action as of now, it is ideal to solve the problem as soon as possible.

On the other hand, LC7, one of the adjacent GCs of LC8, has a % deviation of -3.66%. With that in mind, LC7 has the capacity to absorb parts of LC8, which would make the population more balanced. With careful consideration, M37-M39 was carved out, as the three Former DCCs are adjacent to LC7, and they will soon be connected by developments of Northern Metropolis, including the Northern Link.

With the swap of areas, there will be a more balanced population representation, which is beneficial to voters and the lawmakers who look after them.

### **7. Reasons for Name Amendment:**

Unlike HK Island or Kowloon, New Territories (NT) is vast in size and surrounds HK Island and Kowloon in a horseshoe shape. Therefore, it is not ideal to name the constituencies by using cardinal or ordinal directions, e.g. North East with the wording “New Territories”. Instead, it should use the names of the districts and areas the LC represents. This would give residents a better idea of which constituency they belong to and reduce confusion. In consistency with the naming practice as shown in the recommendation for LC2, naming recommendations and the reasons behind are as follows.

LC6: The GC mainly includes Ma On Shan, Sai Kung District, and Shap Sze Heung. Therefore, it is recommended that the name of LC6 be amended to “Ma On Shan & Sai Kung” (馬鞍山及西貢). Note that Shap Sze Heung belongs to Sai Kung North (P19), where “Sai Kung” in the new naming will be sufficient to cover the area.

LC7: The GC mainly includes areas that will be part of Northern Metropolis. Therefore, it is ideal to use the name “Northern Metropolis” (北部都會區), which reflects better the geographical identity of the GC. The former name causes confusion as Tin Shui Wai is traditionally not considered part of NT North.

LC8: The GC mainly includes Tuen Mun District and Yuen Long New Town. To reflect the geographical identity, it is suggested that the name be amended to “Tuen Mun & Yuen Long Town” (屯門及元朗市). The rationale for including the wording “Town/市” is to avoid confusion with Yuen Long District, as half the area of Yuen Long District, such as Tin Shui Wai falls under LC7. The name “Yuen Long Town” (元朗市) is found on websites such as Home Affairs Department, reflecting its existing usage.

LC9: The GC includes Tsuen Wan District and Kwai Tsing District. To better reflect the geographical identity, it is suggested that the name be amended to “Tsuen Kwai Tsing” (荃葵青), as the GC consists of the entire part of the two districts. The reason why “Wan (灣)” is not included was also to make the name less wordy. In fact, Kwai Tsing District was originally named Kwai Chung and Tsing Yi District when it was established in 1984, where the name was shortened to the current one in 1988. Therefore, a past practice has been established before.

LC10: The GC includes the main part of Tai Po District, and Sha Tin New Town. Therefore, it is suggested that the name be amended to “Tai Po & Sha Tin Town” (大埔及沙田市). The rationale to include the wording “Town/市” is same as that for LC8.



**Summary Table**

Code	Proposed Name	Constituent Areas (Districts)	Estimated Population	% Deviation
LC1	Island East (港島東)	Wan Chai B1-B11, Eastern, Southern D15-D17	697 161	-7.78%
LC2	Island West & Islands (港島西及離島)	Central & Western, Islands, Southern D1- D14, Wan Chai B12- B13	681 539	-9.85%
LC3	Kowloon East (九龍東)	No Change	742 100	-1.84%
LC4	Kowloon West (九龍西)	No Change	739 700	-2.15%
LC5	Kowloon Central (九龍中)	No Change	776 300	2.69%
LC6	Ma On Shan & Sai Kung (馬鞍山及西貢)	Sha Tin R25-R36, Tai Po P19, Sai Kung	743 429	-3.66%
LC7	Northern Metropolis (北部都會區)	LC7 and Yuen Long M37-M39	790 533	4.57%
LC8	Tuen Mun & Yuen Long Town (屯門及元朗市)	LC8 excluding M37- M39	792 867	4.88%
LC9	Tsuen Kwai Tsing (荃葵青)	No Change	797 900	5.55%
LC10	Tai Po & Sha Tin Town (大埔及沙田市)	Current LC10 excluding P19	812 679	7.50%

## **Other Suggestions for Reference**

Below are recommendations for the EAC's future reference. They are not included in the previous section as they may cause larger boundary changes, or they may not be the most suitable for the 2025 LCGE.

### **Islands District:**

Firstly, the EAC may consider splitting Islands District into 2 adjacent GCs. For example, Tung Chung New Town and Chek Lap Kok may be carved out of the rest of Islands District and be linked to LC8 or LC9 due to its significant difference with the remaining parts of Islands District. In terms of geographical connectivity, Tung Chung is linked to Tuen Mun District via TM-CLK Tunnel, or Tsuen Wan District via Route 8. Although the priority of EAC is to cater for population distribution, it remains important to take physical features into consideration, as stated in the statutory requirements. Following the developments in Tung Chung East and Siu Ho Wan, which will lead to a population increase, it is recommended that EAC consider redrawing the boundaries and delineate Northern Lantau Island (i.e. Tung Chung New Town) into LC8 or LC9 in 2030. This will also align with the EAC's working principles of treating HK Island, Kowloon, and NT as far as possible.

For the remaining parts of Islands District, they may continue to be grouped with Central & Western District in future elections, as they are connected through ferries, the main method of accessibility for them.

### **Sai Kung, Tai Po, Sha Tin Districts:**

The current formation of LC6 consists of Ma On Shan and Sai Kung District. Yet, the two areas have negligible community ties, except for being connected by Sai Sha Road. While I understand that the current delineation was out of consideration of population distribution, it is suboptimal to forcefully bond irrelevant communities into one GC. While they do belong to New Territories East GC prior to 2021, now that GCs have been further divided into smaller ones, it is no longer suitable to place such distant areas in the same basket.

In view of future developments in Tseung Kwan O Area 137, alongside with ongoing developments in Shap Sze Heung, Cheung Muk Tau, there will be a future population increase. If Sai Kung District has a sufficient population herself, i.e. close to the resulting number in the future, it is suggested that the district form her own GC, so as

to ensure community ties. The same also applies to Sha Tin District, where Ma On Shan belongs to.

## **Conclusion**

In conclusion, I respectfully request the EAC to consider revising both the boundaries and names of the GCs to enhance coherence, fairness, and clarity. As a voter eligible to vote in the Legislative Council General Election for the first time, I earnestly hope that my proposed amendments will better reflect community identities, improve voter comprehension, and ensure more balanced representation across GCs.

In the past, the statutory requirements have caused redrawing of boundaries extremely hard, which caused our GCs to remain unchanged for many years. Now that some stringent requirements are lifted, it is high time that the EAC forfeit its past practice, and review and conduct delineation exercises from time to time, for a better Hong Kong.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input. I remain at your disposal for any clarifications or further details.

Yours faithfully,

Helios Cheng



31 May 2025

Main References:

[https://www.census2021.gov.hk/tc/district\\_profiles.html](https://www.census2021.gov.hk/tc/district_profiles.html)

<https://www.eac.hk/pdf/legco/20251c/2025boundaries/en/chairman.pdf>

[https://www.pland.gov.hk/file/resources/population\\_data/pop\\_dist\\_proj/pdf/Locked\\_WGPD\\_Report\\_2023-2031.pdf](https://www.pland.gov.hk/file/resources/population_data/pop_dist_proj/pdf/Locked_WGPD_Report_2023-2031.pdf)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



維護選區穩定，降低市民適應成本

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:00 AM

維持現有地方選區分界能讓市民更專注選舉實質內容。現行劃界已穩定實施多年，選民對所屬選區的議員服務範圍、社區議題積累了清晰認知。若頻繁調整分界，不僅需重新適應複雜的區域邊界，還可能因選區屬性改變導致議員服務斷層。至於河套區劃入新界北，雖暫無人口，但作為未來發展樞紐，與新界北在地緣上緊密相連，提前納入同一選區有利於統籌規劃交通網絡與公共服務，確保日後居民遷入時能及時獲得議員關注，體現選舉制度的前瞻性。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:15

本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



維護劃界穩定，推動河套區域協同發展

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:20

從區域發展專業角度分析，維持現有分界體現「穩中求進」原則。現行選區劃分符合人口分布均勻性要求，且各區議題具明確延續性，有利於議員積累專業治理經驗。將河套區納入新界北選區，契合城市規劃「功能協同」理念——河套區定位為創新合作平台，其未來人口流入將以專業人才為主，與新界北的居住、商業功能形成產業鏈協同。提前劃歸同一選區，可確保議員在基礎設施規劃（如軌道交通接駁、跨境通勤配套）中統籌考量兩地需求，避免因選區分割導致發展資源碎片化，為深港合作區的長遠發展奠定治理基礎。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eaceng@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:20

本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



贊成有關議題

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:25

從學生角度出發，維持現有地方選區分界能讓我們持續聚焦熟悉的議題。多年來，同學們已習慣向所屬選區議員反映校園周邊安全、交通配套等問題，並建立起有效溝通機制。若大幅調整分界，不僅議員更替可能導致問題跟進中斷，學生也需重新適應新議員的服務模式，增加訴求表達成本。河套區劃入新界北選區，契合未來教育發展需求。河套區未來或引入眾多創科企業及科研機構，劃入毗鄰的新界北選區，有利於推動校企合作、學生實習等項目落地。議員可統籌兩地資源，為學生爭取更多科研實踐機會、完善跨境修讀交流配套，讓選區劃分更好服務年輕一代成長。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



同意2025年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:25

本人同意是次建議將區域劃分及名稱沿用現時之做法，避免選民需要重新適應。另外，希望選委會在設立票站時，充分考慮其方便性及可達性，便利長者，功德無量。

Brian lam

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eaceng@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:25

本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議從 [iPhone 版的 Yahoo Mail 傳送](#)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:29

本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

[從 iPhone 版的 Yahoo Mail 傳送](#)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:29

本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

[從 iPhone 版的 Yahoo Mail 傳送](#)

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



有關二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 11:32

本人支持：二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

有關二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

本人認為，是次立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議，政府是沿用上  
次立選的區域劃分及名稱，沒有進行修改，本人支持政府是次建議。因為我們  
都已熟悉現有各分區的名稱及分界，不適宜作大改動，引起混亂。謝謝！

張玉珍

張玉珍

30/5/2025

就 2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

本人歡迎是次 2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議，沒有進行大改動，便利選民。另外，就中西區東華小區投票站，本人建議在堅道或般咸道附近設票站，方便附近的選民投票，不用行百幾級樓梯到新會商會學校投票。

30/5/2025

張欣



同意二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

本人同意 2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議，因近年多人北上消費，再加上政府已開始推行電子掃瞄身份證後，人手派票方法，可以放寬邊境投票機制，無需預約亦可在邊境票站投票，便利市民。

30/5/2025



## 2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

謹此致函，就二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱的臨時建議，我要表達我對保留現有區域劃分及名稱不作任何改動的支持。

過去的區域劃分和名稱已在社區中建立了一定的認同感和穩定性，保持現狀有助於維持這種穩定，並確保選民能夠在選舉過程中保持熟悉感。此舉也有助於避免不必要的混淆和困擾，促進選舉過程的順利進行。

我相信，保留現有區域劃分及名稱的決定將有助於維護社區的連結和穩定性，同時確保選舉過程的效率和透明度。期望這個決定能夠為我們的社會帶來積極的影響。




30/5/2025

## 2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

我對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱的臨時建議表示全力支持。在這次建議中，保留現有的區域劃分及名稱，不作任何改動，對維護社區的穩定性和社區認同感具有重要意義。

然而，在設立投票站時，我認為需要特別考慮便利居民的需求。居民可能面臨交通不便、行動不便或其他困難，因此在選擇投票站地點時，應該考慮到他們的方便性和利益。建議在選址時儘可能選擇便利居民的地點，提供相應的輔助措施，確保他們也能方便參與選舉活動。

這樣的舉措將有助於確保選舉公平、公正進行，鞏固香港的選舉制度和民主價值。期待這些建議的實施能夠為社區帶來積極的影響，讓選舉過程更加平等和民主。



30/05/2025

致：選舉管理委員會

我作為一名關心地方事務的居民，對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示支持。

理由如下

- 1) 公平性：新的選區劃分能夠更公平地分配選票，避免人口稠密地區的選民聲音被淹沒。
- 2) 政策針對性：更精確的選區劃分能使立法會議員能夠針對特定社區的需求提出更具針對性的政策。

聯絡資料：

姓名：葉潔軒

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

我作為一名關心地方事務的居民，對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示支持。

理由如下

- 1) 通過劃分相似背景的社區，可以促進社會的整合與和諧，增強居民的凝聚力。
- 2) 合理的選區劃分能提高選民的參與度，讓更多人關心政治議題。

聯絡資料：

姓名：IVAN Lo

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

我作為一名關心地方事務的居民，對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示支持。

理由如下

1) 新的選區劃分能使立法會議員更好地了解選民需求，從而提升政府的施政效能。

2) 新的選區劃分能夠更公平地分配選票，避免人口稠密地區的選民聲音被淹沒。

聯絡資料：

姓名：

盧俊希

電話：





致：選舉管理委員會

我作為一名關心地方事務的居民，對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示支持。

理由如下

- 1) 增強社會責任感：通過合理的選區劃分，能促進選民對社會事務的關心與參與，增強社會責任感。
- 2) 社會整合：通過劃分相似背景的社區，可以促進社會的整合與和諧，增強居民的凝聚力。

聯絡資料：

姓名： 盧志威

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

我作為一名關心地方事務的居民，對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示支持。

理由如下

1) 新的分界能使選民在投票時更加便利，減少因選區劃分不合理而造成的投票困難。

2) 支持合理的選區劃分是推動民主制度發展的重要一步，能促進選舉的公正性。

聯絡資料：

姓名：陳昭珠

電話：





致：選舉管理委員會

1

本人支持二零二五年立法會換屆選舉 地方選區分界及名稱  
臨時建議內容，能合理地將選民公平分配到各選區，確保  
每個選區的代表性，讓立法會議員更能反映民意。

聯絡資料：

姓名：劉寧

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

本人支持二零二五年立法會換屆選舉 地方選區分界及名稱  
臨時建議內容，促進社會的整合與和諧，增強居民的凝聚力。

聯絡資料：

姓名：林玉芬

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

本人支持二零二五年立法會換屆選舉 地方選區分界及名稱  
臨時建議內容，能分能使立法會議員能夠針對特定社區的  
需求提出更具針對性的政策。

聯絡資料：

姓名：陳礎冰

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

本人支持二零二五年立法會換屆選舉 地方選區分界及名稱  
臨時建議內容，能真實地反映當前社會的變遷，確保選區  
的劃分與實際情況相符。

聯絡資料：

姓名：謝惠賢

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

本人支持二零二五年立法會換屆選舉 地方選區分界及名稱  
臨時建議內容，能減少因選區劃分不合理而造成的投票困  
難。

聯絡資料：

姓名：邵傑昭

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

您好！

我支持今次立法會換屆選舉的地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。因今次的劃分能使立法會議員更好地了解選民需求，從而提升政府的施政效能。

聯絡資料：

姓名：鄧之妍

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

您好！

我支持今次立法會換屆選舉的地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。因今次的劃分能確保每位選民的聲音都能被聽見，推動公平選舉的實現。

聯絡資料：

姓名：勞天良

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

您好！

我支持今次立法會換屆選舉的地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。因今次的劃分使立法會議員能夠針對特定社區的需求提出更具針對性的政策。

聯絡資料：

姓名：朱瑤希

電話：





致：選舉管理委員會

您好！

我支持今次立法會換屆選舉的地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。因今次的劃分能能使選民在投票時更加便利，減少因選區劃分不合理而造成的投票困難。

聯絡資料：

姓名：張麗貞

電話：



致：選舉管理委員會

您好！

我支持今次立法會換屆選舉的地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。因今次的劃分能更真實地反映當前社會的變遷，確保選區的劃分與實際情況相符。

聯絡資料：

姓名：黃溢輝

電話：



☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



支持今次立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 14:30

我作為一名關心地方事務的居民，對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示支持。

支持理由：

促進公平選舉：合理的選區劃分能確保每位選民的聲音都能被聽見，推動公平選舉的實現。

提升政府效能：新的選區劃分能使立法會議員更好地了解選民需求，從而提升政府的施政效能。

增強社會責任感：通過合理的選區劃分，能促進選民對社會事務的關心與參與，增強社會責任感。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



支持今次立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 14:32

您好！我對於二零二五年立法會換屆選舉的地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示支持。

支持理由：

提高參與度：合理的選區劃分能提高選民的參與度，讓更多人關心政治議題。

反映實際情況：新的劃分能更真實地反映當前社會的變遷，確保選區的劃分與實際情況相符。

加強民主制度：支持合理的選區劃分是推動民主制度發展的重要一步，能促進選舉的公正性。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



我支持今次立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 14:34

我支持今次立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。  
支持理由：

便利投票：新的分界能使選民在投票時更加便利，減少因選區劃分不合理而造成的投票困難。

政策針對性：更精確的選區劃分能使立法會議員能夠針對特定社區的需求提出更具針對性的政策。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



支持今次立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 14:37

您好！我作為一名地區居民，對於二零二五年立法會換屆選舉的地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議表示全力支持。

支持理由：

社區連結：新的選區劃分能更好地反映社區的實際需求，增強居民之間的聯繫。

代表性：通過合理的選區劃分，能確保每個選區的選民都有公平的代表，讓立法會更能反映民意。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



支持今次立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

to: eacenq@eac.hk

30/05/2025 14:38

我寫信是希望表達對二零二五年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議的支持。

支持理由：

公平性：新的選區劃分能夠更公平地分配選票，避免人口稠密地區的選民聲音被淹沒。

社會整合：通過劃分相似背景的社區，可以促進社會的整合與和諧，增強居民的凝聚力。



2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

香港特區政府提出的臨時建議，符合基本法和香港本地法律的規定，並充分考慮人口分佈、社區發展及社會整體利益，體現了科學規劃和民主程序的有機結合。

完善的選區劃分制度，不僅有利於維護國家安全和社會穩定，更能促進香港長期繁榮，保障「一國兩制」行穩致遠。我們相信，香港特區政府會繼續以專業、嚴謹的態度推進相關工作，為香港的良政善治奠定堅實基礎。



李遠根

30/5/2025



對 2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

本人支持支持香港立法會換屆選地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。

香港特別行政區立法會換屆選舉是香港政治體制的重要環節，依法保留現有的選區劃分及名稱，是確保選舉公平、公正、具代表性的關鍵步驟。

讓我們共同支持香港立法會選區劃界及名稱的方案，齊心協力維護香港的法治與繁榮，攜手開創更加美好的未來！

冼木好

冼木好

30-5-2025

2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

本人認同是次建議保留現有的區域及名稱。

此次選區劃界建議，有助於更均衡地反映不同地區的民意，使立法會的組成更具廣泛代表性，進一步提升管治效能。香港特區政府在制定建議的過程中，廣泛聽取社會各界意見，體現了開放、透明的施政原則，值得肯定。

江桂英

2025年5月30日

2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

本人同意是次建議，將可促進更有效的代議制度和更具包容性的民主過程。

中國中央政府一貫堅定支持香港特區政府依法施政，確保「愛國者治港」原則得到全面落實。完善的選區劃分制度，不僅有利於維護國家安全和社會穩定，更能促進香港長期繁榮，保障「一國兩制」行穩致遠。我們相信，香港特區政府會繼續以專業、嚴謹的態度推進相關工作，為香港的良政善治奠定堅實基礎。

此次保留原有選區劃界，有助於更均衡地反映不同地區的民意。

李慶元

30-5-2025

2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議的意見

我個人是十分支持 2025 年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。香港特別行政區立法會換屆選舉是香港政治體制的重要環節，依法進行保留現有的選區劃分及名稱，是確保選舉公平、公正、具代表性的關鍵步驟。

讓我們共同支持保留現有的香港立法會選區劃界及名稱的方案，齊心協力維護香港的法治與繁榮，攜手開創更加美好的未來！

君  
陳美君

30-5-2025

[Date/Time]

2025-05-26 17:35:27

[Detail]

許先生

1. 建議香港行政區域重新劃分，令選舉上選民的劃分更清晰。
2. 新界東北上：大埔以北
3. 新界東北下：沙田，石門
4. 新界東南上：西貢、馬鞍山、西沙、烏溪沙
5. 新界東南下：將軍澳、調景嶺、康城、寶琳
6. 新界西南上：從荔枝角、荃灣
7. 新界西南下：青衣、東涌、赤臘角、迪士尼
8. 香港島中上：跑馬地、黃泥涌
9. 香港島中下：灣仔、銅鑼灣、天后
10. 東港島：炮台山、北角至小西灣
11. 西港島：堅尼地城至金鐘
12. 九龍：長沙灣至觀塘
13. 另外，建議政府立例將堂食飲管規定要用鐵造的，外賣的可以繼續用紙飲管。

☐ Urgent ☐ Return receipt ☐ Sign ☐ Encrypt ☐ Mark Subject Restricted ☐ Expand personal&public groups



公眾諮詢：2025年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議

31/05/2025 23:57

From:

[REDACTED]

To:

eacenq@eac.hk

致 選管會秘書處負責人：

本人贊成是次2025年立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界及名稱臨時建議。

本人甚為關注河套區的發展，河套區是現時政府致力發展的重點項目之一；雖然目前河套區仍然處於開發建設階段，暫時未有人口居住，規劃署現時就河套區的2025年年中的人口推算為零，為配合河套區的發展，我亦贊成選管會的建議將河套區劃入新界北地方選區（LC7）。

本人希望選管會日後可以因應河套區的發展及獨特性，而適時調整立法會換屆選舉地方選區分界；並考慮是否只以選區的人口推算數字為「單一」的劃界準則。

Irene LW Lam

從我的iPhone傳送