2(

Appendix IX(B)

2016 Legislative Council General Election Summary of Ballot Papers in Ballot Boxes that were Not Counted District Council (second) Functional Constituency

| | Breakdown of invalid ballot papers | | | | Breakdown of rejected questionable ballot papers | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------|-----|
| Constituency Code and Name | (1) Endorsed with the words "重複" and "TENDERED" | (2) Unmarked | (3) Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station | (4) Containing votes for more than one candidate list | (5) With writing or mark by which the elector can possibly be identified | (6) Substantially mutilated | (7) Void for uncertainty | (8) ^{Note1} Not marked with the chop provided at the polling station | Total | |
| DS District Council (second) | 130 | 45,285 | 196 | 24,715 | 501 | 16 | 2,328 | 220 | 73,391 | 200 |

According to section 77A(4)(a)(ii) of the EAC(EP)(LC) Reg, any ballot paper, which appears to be not marked in accordance with section 55(2) using the chop to be affixed to give a single "\(\nsigma\)" in the circle opposite the list of candidates of the elector's choice, is questionable and the RO or ARO should decide whether the vote is to be counted. When making the decision, if the RO or ARO believes the ballot paper is not marked with the chop provided at the polling station, the vote is not to be counted pursuant to section 80(1)(ha).